

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,201	10/09/2001	David Koellisch	TRW(RG)5742	5797
26294	7590 05/25/2004		EXAMINER	
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111			SMITH, JULIE KNECHT	
CLEVEVLA	ND, OH 44114		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	• 1			
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)	J.
		09/973,201	KOELLISCH, DAVID	e.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Julie K Smith	3682	
eriod f	The MAILING DATE of this communicat or Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical eperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, if reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communi ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>15 March</u> 2004.		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)[☐ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
	closed in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
isposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	•		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)∐	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
pplicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.	•	
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 09 October 2001	is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ol	bjected to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			, ,
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
riority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc2. Certified copies of the priority doc		nalication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·		
	application from the International		Toochiou in this National Stage	•
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo		received.	
		,		
tachmen	it(s)			
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview So	ummary (PTO-413)	
		٠, ــــ ،	\/\d=!! D=4-	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/973,201

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Peterson et al. (5,732,600). Peterson et al. discloses a steering column comprising a first U-joint (40) connectable with a steering wheel of a vehicle, a first shaft (50) pivotally attached to said first Ujoint and rotatable about a longitudinal axis of said first shaft upon rotation of said first U-joint; a second shaft (48) drivingly connected to said first shaft having a slip joint connection (see fig. 2) with said first shaft permitting relative axial movement of said first and second shafts while maintaining a rotary drive connection between said first and second shafts, a second U-joint (42) pivotally attached to said second shaft and connectable with a steering mechanism of a vehicle; said first shaft comprising first and second shaft parts and a reusable quick disconnect bolt and nut joint (54) between said first and second shaft parts permitting disconnection of said first and second shaft parts and pivoting of said first shaft part relative to said first U-joint to a first out of the way position and pivoting of said second shaft part relative to said second U-joint to a second out of the way position. Peterson et al. further discloses a first shaft part including a first surface extending parallel to said longitudinal axis of said first shaft part engageable with a second surface on said second shaft part extending parallel to said first surface.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,201

Art Unit: 3682

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/15/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

During an interview on March 10, 2004, it was agreed that the rejection of claims 1-10 was improper. However, after discussing the case with SPE, David Bucci, it was determined that the Peterson et al. reference meets the limitations of the claims. The Peterson et al. shaft assembly would, in fact, be reusable, as it is not damaged in any way. Although the sleeve (152) is plastically deformed, it does not prevent the shaft assembly from being disassembled and reassembled.

Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,201

Art Unit: 3682

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie K Smith whose telephone number is 703-305-3948. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8-5:30, (Every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Bucci can be reached on 703-308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

JKS Jks

May 17, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3500